Identification of covalent fragment binding sites by proteolytic digestion and High-Resolution LCMS Jake Stewart Domainex Ltd, Chesterford Research Park, Little Chesterford, Saffron Walden, CB10 1XL

Introduction

Covalent inhibitors of proteins are an ever growing field of interest with the number of publications on the topic tripling in the last decade leading to several new irreversible drugs currently both on the market and under development. Historic irreversible inhibitors have focused on adding covalent functionality to existing optimised noncovalently binding compounds. This functionality increases the duration of action improving efficiency requiring a lower dose and hence increasing patient compliance by reducing frequency of dosing. As such the interest in this area has driven the development of techniques making it possible to perform novel hit to lead identification though high throughput screening of covalent fragment libraries against a target protein.

At Domainex we have developed LC-MS workflows to identify covalent fragment screening hits and confirm they bind and their stoichiometry. Further to this we are always looking for ways to increase the information we can gain from any assay. Hence, we have developed further protease digest work flow in tandem with intact mass analysis to identify hits stoichiometry and confirm the site of binding through peptide mapping.

Protein Preparation

Binding Site Identification

Domainex

Enrich your Medicines Pipeline

Initial analysis was undertaken on the wild type (WT) protein of interest using a previously validated method on a Waters G2-XS QToF. This gave reasonable chromatography and deconvoluted to the expected mass. While the protein analysis was sufficient for analysing the single species, once the binders were added and multiple species were created, the signal to noise ratio (S/N) became too low to distinguish individual peaks. As the protein was relatively large it was theorised that a smaller protein would give a higher S/N. To this end the truncated version of the protein was investigated and the S/N was much higher and individual peaks were identifiable even in complex mixtures.



Digested samples were analysed on a Waters G2-XS QToF, utilising the chromatography from a Waters Acquity UPLC Peptide CSH C18 130 Å 1.7 µm, 2.1 mm x 150 mm on a Waters Acquity H-Class Plus Bio. Using Waters UNIFI peptide mapping software, combinations of filters can selectively narrow down the list of identified peptides to a high confidence. Domainex covalent fragment library was imported into UNIFI as amino acid modifications, the software can then filter peptide sequences which have been modified by a binder with high confidence. In the figure below we show that on incubation and digestion with no binder we observe no modified peptides. When comparing this with incubations of fragments of Cluster A and B we can see where the binders have bound on the peptide sequence (highlighted in blue)



Library Screen

The Domainex acrylamide library was screened using the optimised binding conditions with 5 fragments per well (1% DMSO). These samples were analysed on a Waters G2-XS QToF, utilising the chromatography from a Waters Acquity UPLC Protein BEH C4 300 Å 1.7 µM, 2.1 mm x 50 mm on a Waters Acquity H-Class Plus Bio. To ensure multiple binders could be identified in each pool, fragments were selected using an automated process to give >5 Da difference between fragments in each pool. This generated 10 strong binder hits from the library of 73, with 13 weaker binders also identified. The compound pools were investigated further to ensure the hits were repeatable as singletons. Hits were confirmed by singleton

By utilising Waters MSe fragmentation data a finger print for each of the candidate peptides are generated, increasing identification confidence. MSe fragmentation data is also used to identify the exact Cys residue on the peptide sequence where the modifier is bound. The software will automatically assign the fragment fingerprint and showing the exact binding site of each modification in the display below

Component Summary 👻										
4	Component name	Protein name	Fragment label 🔍	Peptide	Modifiers	Sequence start	Sequence end	Obser		
1	1:T27&:Carbamidomethyl C [12], Z3337540138 [4]+H⁺	Target Protein	1:T27&	YGQCWVFAAVACTVLR	Carbamidomethyl C [12], Z3337540138 [4]	280	295			

analysis (1% DMSO).



Conclusions



3 hits

Optimising Digestion Conditions

Following the singleton analysis samples were digested using a standard method of Iodoacetamide end capping of remaining reduced Cys, denaturation using 8M Urea or Guanidine chloride and Trypsin protease incubation over night. These conditions reliable provided 99% peptide mapping coverage for the selected target protein. Building upon this capability we have now developed a screening cascade using various other proteases for both difficult to digest target proteins and to assist in more exact location of binding site.



From the initial intact protein analysis strong binders fell into 3 clusters representing potentially interesting starting points for further elaboration & delivery of interesting novel binders lacking peptidic character. Using the protein digest workflow, we have identified 3 clusters that have an affinity for the same binding site. Applications of these workflows can allow for the high throughput screening of various libraries followed by binding site confirmation of different binder characteristics to ensure the same site of action.

R1 = H, Me, cyclopropyl

Next steps

• Carry forward pools of compounds screened against intact mass and assess whether strength of binding can be determined from the MS response of the associated peptide.

1: 1 to 90	AHHHHHHAEE	LVLERCDLEL	ETNGRDHHTA	DLCREKLVVR	RGQPFWLTLH	FEGRNYEASV	DSLTFSVVTG	PAPSQEAGTK
1: 91 to 180	EGDWTATVVD	QQDCTLSLQL	TTPANAPIGL	YRLSLEASTG	YQGSSFVLGH	FILLFNAWCP	ADAVYLDSEE	ERQEYVLTQQ
1: 181 to 270	IKNIPWNFGQ	FEDGILDICL	ILLDVNPKFL	KNAGRDCSRR	SSPVYVGRVV	SGMVNCNDDQ	GVLLGRWDNN	YGDGVSPMSW
1: 271 to 360	KNHGCQRVKY	GQCWVFAAVA	CTVLRCLGIP	TRVVTNYNSA	HDQNSNLLIE	YFRNEFGEIQ	GDKSEMIWNF	HCWVESWMTR
1: 361 to 450	QALDPTPQEK	SEGTYCCGPV	PVRAIKEGDL	STKYDAPFVF	AEVNADVVDW	IQQDDGSVHK	SINRSLIVGL	KISTKSVGRD
1: 451 to 471	YPEGSSEERE	AFTRANHLNK	L					
1								
Chain 1	(99% coverag	je)						
1								

- Assess capability to identify binding of reversible covalent inhibitors and the changes produced at the binding site.
- Develop native size exclusion mass spec methodology for the possible identification of noncovalent reversible inhibitors using similar intact mass workflow.

Contact

If you would like to learn more about applying our drug-discovery platforms, please contact: enquiries@domainex.co.uk

domainex.co.uk

X = N or NH

2 hits